

**From:** Bruce Nazarian  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 2, 2003 12.43 PM  
**Subject:** Comments to the Commissioner

Bruce Nazarian (gnome@gnomedigital.com) writes:

Commissioner Adelstein.

What a sad day for Americans...

The "Powell rules" are a prescription for a media disaster for Americans -

Thank you for your attempts to provide an impediment to the partisan passage of these rules - sadly, Republicans have once again bludgeoned American consumers in favor of assisting big international business -

The scariest part of this is the ability of FOREIGN corporations to buy our media - specifically, News Corp.

Thanks anyway, for your resistance.

-----  
Server protocol: HTTP/1.0  
Remote host: 24.205.68.181  
Remote IP address: 24.205.68.181

**From:** BE

**To:** z Speaker, zd Democratic Caucus, zd Democratic Policy Committee, zd Senator CT, zd Senator DE, zd Senator HI, zd Senator IA, zd Senator IL, zd Senator LA, zd Senator MA, zd Senator MD, zd Senator MI, zd Senator MI, zd Senator ND, zd Senator ND, zd Senator NM, zd Senator NY, zd Senator RI, zd Senator SD, zd Senator SD, zd Senator VT, zd Senator WA, zd Senator WI, zd Senator WV, zi Senator VT, zr Senator AL, zr Senator AL, zr Senator IN, zr Senator KY, zr Senator ME, zr Senator ME, zr Senator MS, zr Senator MS, zr Senator NM, zr Senator OH, zr Senator OK, zr Senator VA, zr Senator WI, zr Senator WY, z House Policy, vv bob CNBC pisani, vv david CNBC faber, vv joe CNBC kernen, vv maria. CNBC bartiromo, vv martha CNBC maccallum, vv michelle CNBC caruso-cabrera, vv ron CNBC insana, vv tom CNBC costello, vvvQuestion MSNBC, vvv World MSNBC, vvv Weekend Magazine MSNBC, vvv Viewers MSNBC, vvv Seattle news MSNBC, vvv Pat Buchanan MSNBC, vvv Letters MSNBC, vvv Investigate MSNBC, vvv Imus MSNBC, vvv Hardball MSNBC, vvv DC news MSNBC, vvv Countdown MSNBC, vvv Commerce MSNBC, vvv Abrams Report MSNBC, vvv Reports MSNBC, <<NYT Letters, <<NYT Editor, <<Boston Globe, <<Chicago Trib Editor, <<CNN, <<CNN AmericanMorning, <<C-span Producers, <<LA Times Letters, <<Late Edition CNN, <<Star Ledger, <<Washington Post, <<Wall Street Journal Letters, <<Wash. Times Letters, v Wolf Blitzer, v ABC 20/20, v ABC investigators, v ABC Nightline, v Brian Williams cnbc, v Capital Report CNBC, v CBS 48 Hours, Checkpoint@CNBC.com, v Dan Rather CBS, v Dateline NBC, v Eyewitness.news ABC, v Hardball CNBC, v Kudlow-Cramer CNBC, V Meet the Press NBC, v Nightly NBC, v Tim Russert NBC Meet The Press, v TODAY NBC, v Tyler Mathisen CNBC, v WBlitzer CNN, v Weekend Today NBC, <Business Week, <Forbes, <Fortune, <Time, <New Yorker, <Newsweek Editor, <Newsweek Letters, <Parade, <Time.com, <US Weekly, <USA Today, <Village Voice

**Date:** Mon, Jun 2, 2003 12:44 PM

**Subject:** 02-277

This is unconstitutional .  
STAY or PROSECUTE the FCC !  
They have sold out our country,  
our freedom of choice,  
thus our FUTURE!

**CC:** Commissioner Adelstein, zy jmweb FCC, Kathleen Abernathy, Mike Powell, Michael Copps, zz Amanda Young, FCC FCCINFO

**From:** Donald F. Wales  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 2, 2003 12:47 PM  
**Subject:** Comments to the Commissioner

Donald F. Wales (dhwales@comcast.net) writes:

Thank you so very much for your clear, powerful and pertinent presentation this morning at the hearing. You are appreciated and we support you. We are dismayed at the "railroad" that ran right over your objections.

Again, thank you.

Sincerely,

Dr. Donald F. Wales  
Albuquerque, NM

-----  
Server protocol: HTTP/1.1  
Remote host: 68.35.52.137  
Remote IP address: 68.35.52.137

**From:** Steven.Rinehart@donovandata.com  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 2, 2003 12:47 PM  
**Subject:** shame

Shame.

**From:** Meakin123@aol.com  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 2, 2003 12:58 PM  
**Subject:** Monday's vote

Dear Mr. Adelstein: Thank you for being an eloquent spokesman for those of us who think that Monday's FCC 3/2 decision is very wrong. Like you, I believe that the ramifications of this decision will influence our society for many years, if not generations, to come. Information influences our opinions, and those opinions in turn influence our voting decisions. If all the information comes from one or two viewpoints, this cannot be wise for the future of the U.S.

I hope that Congress can somehow rectify this matter, and I will certainly inform my Senators, as well as Senators Lott, et. al. of my concerns. - Mary E. Akin

**From:** steveswanson  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 2, 2003 12:58 PM  
**Subject:** relaxed media ownership rules are very bad

Dear Commissioner Abernathy, I strongly oppose the proposed relaxed media ownership rules. They would be antithetical to healthy competition and a diversity of information and entertainment. The U.S. govt . went to great lengths in the early 1900's to eliminate monopolies and unfair trade practices. Do not take a step backwards! If you succede in this insane scheme, then I suppose that we go ahead and put Coca-Cola in charge of the FDA. I strongly encourage you to VOTE NO! Thank you, Steve Swanson

**From:** tonya smolinski  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 2, 2003 12:58 PM  
**Subject:** Why does the fcc allow rape music to recieve mainstream airplay.

Today's music is covered in rape credits, audio of rapes occuring, and musicians either bragging about rapes and crimes they've committed or using material, intellectual property, of personal diaries stolen from abused children to make their music from. Is it common practice to rape, or sexually abuse every child that become property of the media to be exploited and why does the FCC allow this to happen while pretending to be clean and honest while removing the obvious filth. If your dependable services lack in doing it's job properly, the public has a right to challenge your authority and your moral judgement while exposing your errors. Stop raping our children for entertainment.

message forwarded

---

MSN 8 with e-mail virus protection service: 2 months FREE\*  
<http://join.msn.com/?page=features/virus>

**From:** Ann  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 2, 2003 1:04 PM  
**Subject:** Broadcast Rules changes

Dear Honorable Commissioner;  
Please vote against the proposed "broadcast ownership rules" changes.  
Democracy depends on open debate of many sides of an issue.  
Additional consolidation and control in the media will further inhibit free speech.  
Thank you.  
Ann and Robert Ethier  
Massachusetts



**From:** Dfuhr  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 2, 2003 1:09 PM  
**Subject:** Thank you

Thank you Chairman Powell, thank you very much. Now I don't have to worry about changing from Radio to television to the newspaper anymore since they will soon all be owned by the same company.

With this vote, you have doomed american broadcasting, soon it will be a shadow of it's former self. I can already envision all cable channels playing even more crappy re-runs of "Friends". The radio has been ruined through consolidation, just try listening to it. None of that music is what people actually listen to, but it's what's being forced down our throat. Now we have to look forward to that on TV as well.

Thank you again, for screwing me, and everyone else over. I'm already planning my reading list, as I'm sure to have much more time for books.

Dan Fuhr

---

myVine.com - Unlimited Internet as low as \$200/yr  
[www.myVine.com](http://www.myVine.com)

**CC:** Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein

**From:** ruth case  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 2, 2003 1:14 PM  
**Subject:** media deregulation

We hear nothing from corporate media regarding the impending FCC decision on media deregulation because they own the FCC members through favors consistently lavished on them.. I have been cynical towards the media for many years; In my opinion the American people never receive true news from corporate media. Rather, we receive a slanted resemblance of the truth that each media group wants the people to believe is news.. The corporate media's goal is to sway public opinion to their point of view on every subject. America media coverage is very close to socialistic. I depend on C-SPAN for unbiased news coverage!!!

Ruth Case, 1055 Casitas Pass Rd., Carpinteria, CA 93013-2127, 805 566-0362  
ruthcase@earthlink.net

**From:** Daniel Morgan  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 2, 2003 1:16 PM  
**Subject:** <No Subject>

Ms Abernathy

I am very disappointed in your leadership. FCC independence is paramount in importance for the guarding of American freedoms.

As you know, FCC protections were established to fight fascism and communism based upon what happened in Nazi Germany in the 1930s. This is still a danger.

I trust that we will find a better solution than to kowtow to corporations who have apparently appear to have undue influence at your agency.

Sincerely

D Morgan

**From:** linda gamble  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 2, 2003 1:21 PM  
**Subject:** Comments to the Commissioner

linda gamble (lgamble@charter.net) writes:

mr. adelstein

WHAT HAVE YOU DONE??? allowing big media giants to gain more of a monopoly than they already have... you and your fellow commissioners have done a BIG disservice to the AMERICAN people..

-----  
Server protocol: HTTP/1.1  
Remote host: 24.159.72.40  
Remote IP address: 24.159.72 40

**From:** Steven Glidden  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 2, 2003 1:22 PM  
**Subject:** FCC vote

Ms. Abernathy

I'm appalled and disgusted with the FCC actions today and the underhanded way in which the whole process was carried out. Access to a diverse media is essential for informed citizens. Without an informed population the concept of a government elected by the people becomes meaningless. Instead of promoting diversity, you have taken steps to limit control to an extremely small group. This is un-democratic, un-American and just plain wrong.

Sincerely  
Steven Glidden  
dibs@capital.net

**From:** Van Antwerp, Beth (US - Los Angeles)  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 2, 2003 1:31 PM  
**Subject:** FCC's Agenda

Chairman Powell:

From all I am able to determine, you are following an agenda based on a personal ideology, and ignoring the will of the American people with regard to the de-regulation of media ownership. You have to know that what you are doing is wrong. There has not been a single instance of de-regulation that has worked to the benefit of the consumer. Another way of saying that is that no group seems to be capable of self-regulation because self-interest always holds sway.

Those who abuse the privileges of their position ultimately go down in infamy.

Beth Van Antwerp  
P.O. Box 7750  
Los Angeles CA 90007

This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you should delete this message. Any disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

**CC:** Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein

**From:** Jeanne Muir  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 2, 2003 1:38 PM  
**Subject:** Please OPPOSE relaxation of media ownership rules

Dear commissioner, please OPPOSE relaxing the rules on allowing media companies to own multiple television and newspaper concerns in a single market.

I firmly believe that any further consolidation in the media marketplace will severely limit free speech and diminish the diversity of views.

I own a public relations company and it is abundantly clear to me that common ownership promotes a very narrow approach to news stories. We find that different stations within a conglomerate, though they will state that they target different demographics and different points of view, accept and carry stories that promote a single, company-established point of view.

They filter what news and what opinions the readers or listeners are given.

The airwaves are a public commodity and should be managed for the public's good, not the good of media businesses.

Any further ability to own multiple outlets in a given market will squelch diversity and public speech.

**From:** Nadirah Moreland  
**To:** Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 2, 2003 1:38 PM  
**Subject:** serious concern regarding today's planned actions

I have yet to hear today's news, but I seriously hope the vote planned for today is cancelled. Without serious feedback, you can not do a major overhaul of our media airwaves without time and major public feedback. I heard you, Commissioner Powell, on National Public Radio Friday lightly discussing what changes you were thinking would be beneficial and the reasons why. I am concerned about your reasoning and the fact that expensive lobbyists have more of your attention than the public you are charged to serve and protect. We have a voice, even those of us like me who do not subscribe to cable (that you seemed to be claiming that you would be looking out for with this proposal). Despite your assertions, further deregulation and consolidation will not improve my non-cable accessed programming. Supporting public television and other diverse and widely-owned, minority-owned, and women-owned broadcasting stations would.

Do not further deregulate ownership. It is already badly damaged by previous actions and regulations.

Respectfully,  
Nadirah Moreland

230 Rhode Island Avenue, NE #515  
Washington, DC 20002

"We are born to make manifest the glory of God that is within us. It is not just in some of us-it is in everyone." from Nelson Mandela Inaugural Address 1994  
Sow JUSTICE-Reap PEACE

---

Do you Yahoo!?  
Free online calendar with sync to Outlook(TM).



**From:** Paul J. Perrone  
**To:** Mike Powell, Commissioner Adelstein, KM KJMWEB, Michael Copps, Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 2, 2003 1:41 PM  
**Subject:** Relaxing broadcast ownership rules

Dear FCC Directors,

I believe that your actions to relax the broadcast ownership rules is a threat to the free flow of communications in this country and another nail in the coffin of democracy and freedom. The FCC is not much of a public service regulatory agency if they do not "regulate" and help ensure the free flow of ideas and communication. Purposely providing loopholes to enable monopolies to form and control communication and ideas is clearly wrong and exactly the kind of regulation that justifies the existence of organizations like the FCC that American tax dollars pay for. You've not only justified why the FCC should be dissolved as a useless organization and waste of money, but also have damaged our liberty in the process.

Regards,

Paul Perrone